EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 565 663 705 US

Sheet 1 of 2

Form PTO-1449 Applicant:

Bodin Dresevic, et al.

Serial No.:

10/603,537

Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

Filing Date:

June 24, 2003

Group: 2173

Confirmation No.:

4108

For:

QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES WITH USER PREFERENCE

INFORMATION

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT

U.S. Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	Patent <u>Number</u>	Issue <u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>	Class	Sub <u>Class</u>	Filing <u>Date</u>
<u> XB</u> A1.	5,339,092	08/16/94	Johnson, et al.	345	136	01/13/93

Examiner: X.L. Bautista

Date Considered:

3/3/06

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 565 663 705 US

Form PTO-1449

Bodin Dresevic, et al.

Applicant: Serial No.:

10/603,537

Filing Date:

June 24, 2003

Confirmation No.:

4108

For:

QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES WITH USER PREFERENCE

Sheet 2 of 2

Group: 2173

Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

INFORMATION

References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

KCS0000004279V001

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 1 of 8

Applicant:

Bill Hill et al.

Serial No.: Filing Date: 10/603,537 June 24, 2003 Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

14984.12.2.1 Group: 2173

For:

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANT

U.S. Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	Document Number	Issue Date	<u>Name</u>
<u>NB</u> 1	4,136,359	1/23/1979	Wozniak
<u>KB</u> 2	4,217,604	8/12/1980	Wozniak
<u>XB</u> 3	4,278,972	7/14/1981	Wozniak
<u>XB</u> 4	4,600,274	7/15/1986	Morozumi
<u>XB</u> 5	4,703,318	10/27/1987	Haggerty
<u>XB</u> 6	4,851,825	7/25/1989	Naiman
<u>XB</u> 7	5,057,739	10/15/1991	Shimada et al.
<u>XB</u> 8	5,113,455	5/12/1992	Scott
<u>XB</u> 9	5,122,783	6/16/1992	Bassetti, Jr.
<u>XB</u> 10	5,153,577	10/6/1992	Mackey et al.
<u>XB</u> 11	5,254,982	10/19/1993	Feigenblatt et al.
<u>XB</u> 12	5,298,915	3/29/1994	Bassetti, Jr.
<u>XB</u> 13	5,334,992	8/2/1994	Rochat et al.
<u>XB</u> 14	5,334,996	8/2/1994	Tanigaki et al.
xB 15	5,341,153	8/23/1994	Benzschawel et al.

Examiner: X.L. Bawtita Date

Date Considered:

3 K3/0-6

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Serial No.: Filing Date: Bill Hill et al. 10/603,537 June 24, 2003 JAN 0 7 2004

Sheet 2 of 8

Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

Group: 2173

For:

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

<u>XB</u> 16	5,404,432	4/4/1995	Koopman et al.
<u>XB</u> 17	5,543,819	8/6/1996	Farwell et al.
<u>XB</u> 18	5,444,460	8/22/1995	Fujitaka et al.
<u>XB</u> 19	5,467,102	11/14/1995	Kuno et al.
<u>NB</u> 20	5,548,305	8/20/1998	Rupel
<u>XB</u> 21	5,502,490	3/26/1996	Takanashi et al.
<u>xB</u> 22	5,555,360	9/10/1996	Kumazaki et al.
<u>χβ</u> 23	5,623,593	4/22/1997	Spells, III
<u>XB</u> 24	5,633,654	05/27/1997	Kennedy, Jr. et al
<u>YB</u> 25	5,633,772	09/02/1997	Uehara et al
<u>XB</u> 26	5,689,283	11/18/1997	Shirochi
<u>XB</u> 27	5,757,347	05/26/1998	Han
<u>XB</u> 28	5,767,837	06/16/1998	Hara
<u>XB</u> 29	5,821,913	10/13/1998	Mamiya
<u>XB</u> 30	5,847,698	12/08/1998	Reavey et al.
<u>жВ</u> 31	5,894,300	04/13/1999	Takizawa
<u>XB</u> 32	5,963,185	10/05/1999	Havel
<u>XB</u> 33	6,115,151	09/05/2000	Popovich
<u>XB</u> 34	6,151,006	11/21/2000	Yanagi et al.

Examiner: χ | Box | Date Considered: 3/3/06

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 3 of 8

Applicant:

Bill Hill et al.

Serial No.: 10/603,537 Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

Filing Date:

June 24, 2003 IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

Group: 2173

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

6,211,859 B1

04/03/2001

Lin et al.

6,232,947 B1

05/15/2001

Miyawaki, et al.

6,624,828 B1

09/23/2003

Dresevic et al.

Foreign Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial</u> *	Document Number	Publication	Country or Patent Office
<u>XB</u> 38	0,673,012 A3	09/20/1995	EPO
<u>XB</u> 39	0,330,361 B1	04/21/1993	EPO
<u> XB</u> 40	0,435,391 B1	12/18/1990	EPO
<u>XB</u> 41	0,526,135 B1	07/24/1992	EPO

 $\overline{\text{Examiner:}}\chi$ Date Considered:

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 4 of 8

Applicant:

Bill Hill et al.

Serial No.: Filing Date: 10/603,537

June 24, 2003

Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

14984.12.2.1 Group: 2173

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

Other Documents

(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner Initial*

- Ahumada, A Jr. and Beard, B.L. "43.1: A Simple Vision Model for Inhomogeneous Image Quality Assessment". NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA.
- Barbier, B., F. L'Hote, and J. Plantier. 1997. 25.1: Multi-Scale Filtering for Image Quality on LCD Matrix Displays. SID 96 Digest. Pages 1-5.
- Barten, P.G.J. P-8: Effect of Gamma on Subjective Image Quality. SID 96
 Digest. Pages 1-4.
- Beck, D.R. Motion Dithering for Increasing Perceived Image Quality for Low-Resolution Displays. 1998 SID.
- Bedford-Roberts, J. and D. Reynolds. 10:4: Testing the Value of Gray-Scaling for Images of Handwriting. SID 95 Digest. Pages 125-128.
- Chen, L.M. and S. Hasegawa. Visual Resolution Limits for Colour Matrix
 Displays. 1992 Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd. Vol 13 No 4 1992. Pages 179-186.
- Cordonnier, Vincent. Antialiasing Characters by Pattern Recognition. SID, Vol 30/1 1989. Pages 23-28.
- Cowan, William. Displays for Vision Research. Handbook of the Optics:

 Fundamentals, Techniques, and Design. Second Edition, Volume I. Chapter 27.
- Crow, Franklin C. The Use of Grayscale for Improved Raster Display of Vectors and Characters. Computer Graphics. Volume 12, Number 3, August 1978. Pages 1-5.
- Feigenblatt, R.I. Full-color Imaging on Amplitude-quantized Color Mosaic Displays. SPIE Col. 1075 Digital Image Processing Applications (1989). Pages 199-205.
- Gould, John D., Lizette Alfaro, Rich Finn, Brian Haupt and Angela Minuto.
 Reading from CRT Displays Can Be as Fast as Reading from Paper. Human
 Factors, 1987, 29 (5), Pages 497-517.
- S. Gupta and P. Sholtz. Anti-Aliasing Characters Displayed by Text Terminals. *IBM* Technical Disclosure Bulletin, (Vol. 25, No. 12, May 1983). Pages 6434-6436.
- Zen-ichiro Hara, Nobuo Terazaki, Naoki Shiramatsu and Shuji Iwata. Picture Quality of Different Pixel Arrangements for Large-Sized Matrix Displays.

Examiner:	X.L	. E	av	7.39	Date Considered:	3/	3/0	26

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant:

Bill Hill et al.

Serial No.: Filing Date: 10/603,537 June 24, 2003 Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

Group: 2173



IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

Electronics and Communications in Japan, Part 2, Vol. 77, No. 7, 1994. Pages 105-120. (Translated from Denshi Joho Tsushin Gakkai Ronbunshi, Vol. 77-CII, No. 3, March 1994, Pages 148-159.)

- J. Kajiya and M. Ullner. Filtering High Quality Text for Display on Raster Scan Devices. Computer Graphics, Volume 15, Number 3, August 1981. Pages 7-15.
- Y. Kato, A. yasuda, K. Ichida. 13.2: A Fourier Analysis of CRT Displays
 Considering the Mask Structure, Beam Spot Size, and Scan Pattern. Sony Display
 Co., Kanagawa, Japan. 4 pages.
- John H. Krantz, Louis D. Silverstein. 4:5: Color Matrix Display Image Quality: The Effects of Luminance and Spatial Sampling. SID 90 Digest-1990. Pages 29-32.
- K. Kubala, A. Hatch, R.B. Hooker, L. Lewis, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO; Ball Aerospace & Technologies corp., Boulder, CO. 27.4: Investigation into Variable Addressibility Image Sensors and Display Systems. SID 90 Digest-1990. 4 pages.
- Don P. Mitchell, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974.

 Generating Antialiased Images at Low Sampling Densities. Computer Graphics.

 Volume 21, Number 4, July 1987. Pages 65-69.
- Don P. Mitchell, Arun N. Netravali, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974. Reconstruction Filters in Computer Graphics. Computer Graphics, Volume 22, Number 4, August 1988.
- R.A. Morris, R.D. Hersch and A. Coimbra. Legibility of Condensed Perceptually-Tuned Grayscale Fonts. Electronic Publishing, Artistic Imaging, and Digital Typography-1998. Pages 281-293.
- Gerald Murch, Larry Virgin-Tektronix, Inc., Beaverton, OR. 7.1: Resolution and Addressability: How Much is Enough? SID 85 Digest. Pages 101-103.
- Avi Naiman. Some New Ingredients for the Cookbook Approach to Anti-Aliased Text. Graphics Interface '84 Computes rendus. Pages 99-108.
- Avi Naiman, Alain Fournier. Rectangular Convolution for Fast Filtering of Characters. Computer Graphics. Volume 21, Number 4, July 1987.
- 10.1: A.C. Naiman, W. Makous. The Visibility of Higher-Level Jags. SID 95

 Digest. Pages 113-116.
- E. Peli, A.T. Labianca, L. Arend. 35.4: Luminance and Spatial-Frequency Interaction in the Perception of Contrast. SID 96 Digest. Pages 1-5
- Alison Pringle, Peter Robinson, Neil Wiseman. Aspects of Quality in the Design and Production of Text. 1979 ACM University of Cambridge. Pages 63-70.

Examiner: N.L. Bautista	Date Considered:	3/3/02

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant:

Bill Hill et al.

Serial No.:

10/603,537 June 24, 2003 Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1

Group: 2173

Filing Date:

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

J. Le Rohellec, H. Brettel, J. Glasser. 35.2: LCD Legibility Under Different Lighting Conditions as a Function of Character Size and Contrast. SID 96 Digest. Pages 1-5.

Christopher Schmandt. Soft Typography. Information Processing 80. S.H. Lavington (Ed.), North-Holland Publishing Company, ©IFIP, 1980. Pages 1027-

- James E. Sheedy, Molly McCarthy. Reading Performance and Visual Comfort with Scale to Grey Compared with Black-and White Scanned Print. Displays. Volume 15 number 1, 1994. Pages 27-30.
- XB 71 A.A.S. Sluyterman. 13.3: A Theoretical Analysis and Empirical Evaluation of the Effects of CRT Mask Structure on Character Readability. SID 1998. No page numbers.
- Charles Tung. Resolution Enhancement Technology in Hewlett-Packard LaserJet Printers. SPIE Vol. 1912 Color Hard Copy and Graphic Arts II (1993). Pages 440-448.
- John E. Warnock. The Display of Characters Using Gray Level Sample Arrays. 1980 ACM 0-89791-021-4/80/0700-0302. Pages 302-307.
- Turner Whitted. Anti-Aliased Line Drawing Using Brush Extrusion. Computer Graphics Volume 17, Number 3, July 1983. Pages 151-156.
- Sai Yu, Cecil Penn, and Karen Jachimowicz. 43.3: How Fill Factor Affects Display Image Quality. 1998 SID. No page numbers given.
- XB 76 David Belding. Cutting Edge Display Technology: The Diamond Vision Difference. Mitsubishi Diamond Vision - Technical Information Internet Article - http://www.amasis.com/diamondvision/technical.html 1/12/99. 2 pages.
- Mary C. Dyson and Gary J. Kipping. The Effects of Line Length and Method of Movement on Reading from Screen.

http://fontweb/internal/repository/research/linelength.asp?RES=ult ra 6/3/98. 20 pages.

- Mary C. Dyson and Gary J. Kipping. Exploring the Effect of Layout on Reading from Screen. http://fontweb/internal/repository/research/explore.asp?RES=ultra
- 6/3/98. 10 pages. Mary C. Dyson and Gary J. Kipping. The Legibility of Screen Formats: are Three Columns Better than One? http://fontweb/internal/repository/research/scrnformat.asp?RES=ult ra 6/3/98. 16 pages.
- Mary C. Dyson and Gary J. Kipping. Legibility on Screen: a report on research into line length, document height and number of columns.

							_
Examiner:	V.	C-	Ba	Utasta	Date Considered:	3/3/06	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-1449 Sheet 7 of 8 Applicant: Bill Hill et al. Serial No.: 10/603,537 Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1 Filing Date: June 24, 2003 Group: 2173 IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION http://fontweb/internal/repository/research/scrnlegi.asp?RES=ultra August 1996. 7 pages. No author is listed. Typographic Research. http://fontweb/internal/repository/research/research2.asp?RES=ultr a 6/3/98. 2 pages. Microsoft. How Does Hinting Help? http://www.microsoft.com/typography/hinting/how.htm?fname=T20&fsiz e=11/15/1999. 7 pages. Microsoft. The Raster Tragedy at Low Resolution, or: why correct math looks

Microsoft. The Raster Tragedy at Low Resolution, or: why correct math looks wrong on screen and how to fix it.

http://www.microsoft.com/typography/tools/trtalr.htm?fname=\$20&fsi

ze=11/17/1999. 27 pages.

Microsoft. The True Type Rasterizer.

http://www.microsoft.com/typography/what/raster.htm?fname=\$20&fsiz
e=11/2/99. 1 page.

e=11/2/99. I page.

Microsoft. True Type Fundamentals.

http://www.microsoft.com/typography/OTSPEC/TTCH01.htm?fname=#206f.ize=11/12/1999.17

86 Microsoft. True Type Hinting.

http://www.microsoft.com/typography/hinting/hinting.htm 11/2/99. I-

COPIES NOT

PROVIDED

NASA: Space, Telecommunications And Radioscience Laboratory. Color Image Processing for Flat Panel Visual Display Modeling. Starlab - Department of Electrical Engineering/EERA. Stanford University. Stanford, CA 94305-4055 March 30, 1995. 12 pages.

References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form.

Examiner: X-L. Bautista	Date Considered: 3/3/0-6
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, w MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in corthis form with next communication to applicant.	hether or not citation is in conformance with formance and not considered. Include copy of

Applicant: Serial No.:

Filing Date:

Bill Hill et al.

10/603,537 June 24, 2003

JAN 0 7 2004

Att'y Docket No.: 14984.12.2.1 Group: 2173

Sheet 8 of 8

For:

IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF DISPLAYED IMAGES

WITH USER PREFERENCE INFORMATION

Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

W:\14984\12.2.1\RN0000000535V001.doc

X.C.Day(G)

Date Considered

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.